

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/731,811	12/09/2003		Florence Marciacq	025219-442 4315			
21839	7590	03/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		WECKER & MAT	LEWIS, P.	LEWIS, PATRICK T			
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1623			

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				\ //				
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	E				
Office Action Summary			1,811	MARCIACQ ET AL.					
			ner	Art Unit	 -				
		Patrick	T. Lewis	1623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	;				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN Insions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com to period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum so tre to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months the department of the provision of	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply as y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty nd will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commun	ication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
2a)□	•	2b) This action	is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-19</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted o	r b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing	s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	_		•					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			;					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have I documents have I of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT	peen received. peen received in Ap uments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	e				
Attachmer	ıt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03262004</u> .		5) Notice of Inf	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _					

Application/Control Number: 10/731,811

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification/Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because pages 2, 4 and 12 contain flow charts which must be presented as formal drawings (37 CFR 1.58). The specification must also be amended to incorporate a corresponding description of said drawings. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

3. Claims 2-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No.

6,838,560. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

distinct.

The examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over,

the reference claim(s). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are generic to all that is

recited in claims 1-4 of US 6,838,560. That is, claims 1-4 of US 6,838,560 fall entirely

within the scope of claims 2-19 or, in other words, claims 2-19 are anticipated by claims

1-4 of US 6,838,560.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Gregory et al. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics (1979),

Vol. 196, pages 199-208 (Gregory) proposes a structure for a dialdehyde-ATP-lysine

derivative as shown is Fig. 3a. The prior art does not teach or suggest the replacement

of the two -OH moieties with hydrogen atoms nor replacement of the lysine moiety with

a label, protein, enzyme, fatty acid or peptide as instantly claimed.

5. Claims 2-19 are pending. Claims 2-19 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1623

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick T Lewis, PhD

Examiner Art Unit 1623